



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/286,418	04/06/1999	TAKAYUKI KIFUKU	Q53818	4951

7590

01/09/2003

SUGHRUE MION ZINN MACPEAK & SEAS  
2100 PENNSYLVANIA N W  
WASHINGTON, DC 20037

EXAMINER

BROADHEAD, BRIAN J

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3661

DATE MAILED: 01/09/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

09/286,418

Applicant(s)

KIFUKU, TAKAYUKI

Examiner

Brian J. Broadhead

Art Unit

3661

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 October 2002.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 15 October 2002 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120**

- 13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) \_\_\_\_\_
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) \_\_\_\_\_
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other:

**DETAILED ACTION**

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-2, and 4-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kifuku et al., 5740040, in view of Setaka et al., 4881414.

As per claim 1, Kifuku et al. discloses means of computing an estimated value of friction of the steering system based on the steering force of a driver on lines 12-20, on column 2; and means of compensating for the friction based on this estimated value of friction on lines 34-39, on column 20. Kifuku et al. does not implicitly disclose that the friction found is the static friction. Setaka et al. teaches of determining the static friction based on the steering force of a driver on lines 12-15, on column 2. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the static friction of Setaka et al. in the invention of Kifuku et al. because such modification would improve the feeling of steering as stated on line 3, on column 5, of Kifuku et al.

As per claim 2, Kifuku et al. discloses the friction of the steering system is estimated by extracting the edge of the steering force detection value on lines 45-46, on column 20.

As per claim 4, Kifuku et al. discloses the friction of the steering system is estimated by extracting the edge of a motor current on lines 25-28, on column 21.

As per claim 5, Kifuku et al discloses the friction of the steering system is estimated by extracting a edge of the steering force detection value, the motor angular velocity, the motor back electromotive forces, the steering angular velocity, or the motor current wherein the extraction of the edge is carried out through a high frequency pass filter on lines 5-12, on column 6.

As per claim 6, Kifuku et al. discloses the time constant of the high-frequency pass filter is made almost equal to the mechanical time constant or acceleration constant of the motor on lines 55-62, on column 13.

As per claim 7, Kifuku et al. discloses the friction of the steering system is estimated by extracting an edge of the steering force detection value, the motor angular velocity, the motor back electromotive force, the steering angular velocity, or the motor current, and the static friction of the steering system is estimated by multiplying an extracted value of the edge by a predetermined function of the motor angular velocity, motor back electromotive force or steering angular velocity on lines 33-39, on column 20.

As per claim 8, Kifuku et al. discloses there is an upper limit for the friction estimated value on lines 1-5, on column 21.

As per claim 9, Kifuku et al. discloses the friction compensation having a term proportional to the friction estimated value obtained by the positive feedback of the

friction estimated value is computed and the friction of the steering system is compensated by the friction compensation on lines 47-52, on column 20.

As per claim 10, Kifuku et al. discloses the gain of the positive feedback is set such that the friction estimated value and the motor output torque become almost equal to each other on lines 47-52, column 20. Compensating for a friction is producing a force to counteract the frictional force. They would be equal but opposite in direction.

As per claim 11, Kifuku et al. discloses the friction compensation obtained through the means of compensating for the friction is obtained from both a term proportional to the friction estimated value and a term for compensating for the nonlinearity of the motor or a motor reduction gear on lines 47-52, on column 20, and in figure 33.

As per claim 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, and 17, Kifuku et al. discloses the term for compensating for the nonlinearity of the motor or the motor reduction gear and an upper limit is used when the friction estimated value is larger than a predetermined value and they are changed based on car speed or engine speed in figure 33.

As per claim 18, Kifuku et al. discloses the dynamic friction or inertia of the steering system is compensated based on the angular velocity or angular acceleration of the motor or steering in figure 31, item 13.

As per claim 19 and 20, Kifuku et al. discloses a term for compensating for dynamic friction, a term for compensating for static friction, and a term for the nonlinearity of the motor are weighed so that at least one of them is used in figure 31.

### ***Response to Arguments***

3. Applicant's arguments filed 10-22-02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant's argument that Setaka et al. does not disclose estimating a value of static friction is not convincing since the applicant acknowledges that Setaka et al. discloses the torque sensor measures static and rotational torque.

4. In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, Kifuku et al. already discloses using a torque sensor in their measurements. One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to use the smaller and improved torque sensor of Setaka et al. since the smaller size would save weight and costs.

### ***Conclusion***

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Art Unit: 3661


extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian J. Broadhead whose telephone number is 703-308-9033. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William A. Cuchlinski can be reached on 703-308-3873. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-7687 for regular communications and 703-305-7687 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

BJB  
December 30, 2002

  
WILLIAM A. CUCHLINSKI, JR.  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600